1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 9 BRENT MORRIS, Petitioner, 10 Case No. 3:14-cv-000372-LRH-WGC 11 VS. **ORDER** RENEE BAKER, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 15 This action is a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by a Nevada state prisoner. 16 17 Petitioner has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 6). Pursuant to 18 18 U.S.C. § 3006(a)(2)(B), the district court has discretion to appoint counsel when it determines that 19 the "interests of justice" require representation. There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); Bonin v. 20 Vasquez, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally 21 discretionary. Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1023 22 23 (1987); Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). The petition on file in this action is well-written and sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that 24 25 petitioner wishes to bring. The issues in this case are not complex. Counsel is not justified in this 26 instance. 27 ///

28

///

Case 3:14-cv-00372-LRH-WGC Document 17 Filed 02/04/15 Page 2 of 2

On January 29, 2015, respondents filed an answer and exhibits, and served the same on petitioner. (ECF Nos. 11-16). If petitioner wishes to file a reply to the answer, he shall do so within 45 days from the date of entry of this order. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 6) is **DENIED.** IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if petitioner wishes to file a reply to the answer, he shall do so within forty-five (45) days from the date of entry of this order. Thereafter, this matter will be submitted for decision. DATED this 3rd day of February, 2015. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE